

9 **Helena Mao - Nova 42**

Open LD Round 1- 9:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: La Reina 1042019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9:00 am****Ballot Due: 10:45****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning PP**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
JLNamePoints~~(30/1)~~
70-100NamePoints~~(30/1)~~
70-100

Phillip Park

85

Jeffrey Liu

91

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Thank you for Judging!

your contentions are simple and easy to understand, but they did not tie back to your value tightly.

Need improvement on picking apart your opponents rebuttals.

I don't recall your starting off with legalization statements, but by the end you were defending it - I think you were too influenced by your opponent and didn't stand your ground.

Overall good structure with your arguments and rebuttal.

I think you had too many points - it diluted the effectiveness of the points you did focus on.

You did a great job re-stating and bringing back to your neg stands. Although calling your opponents arguments 'mush' was not a good argument.

NOVA 42

6 Natalie Yates - AofHL

Open LD Round 1- 9:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 1032019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9:00 am****Ballot Due: 10:45****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership LJ**Negative:** Nova 42 Academy SZNamePoints~~(30-1)~~
70-100NamePoints~~(30-1)~~
70-100

____ Lily Johnson _____

____ Sabrina Zhang _____

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE_____
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**Lilly Johnson
NO Show

11 Rebecca Cannon - Wilshire

Open LD Round 1- 9:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 1062019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9:00 am****Ballot Due: 10:45****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning BJ**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
FZNamePoints~~(30-41)~~
70-100


____ Brian Jiong

NamePoints~~(30-41)~~
70-100

____ Franklin Zhu

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____


JUDGE'S SIGNATURE_____
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**Brian Jiong
no show

Taylor Yazel

10

~~La Reina Judge 3 - La Reina~~

Open LD Round 1- 9:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 105

2019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament

Round Start: 9:00 am

Ballot Due: 10:45 am

^{closest to me}
Affirmative: Nova 42 Academy HX
Aff

^{farther from me}
Negative: Academy of Higher Learning TS
Neg

Name

Points
(100-70)

Henry Xu

88

Name

Points
(100-70)

Tarun Subramanian

78

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Thank you for Judging!

Aff

Neg

Public Health ~~not~~ criminal Justice

Public Health

- Good use of questioning in CX "Is this from War on Drugs, or just stricter laws?", separating those from each other brought up some interesting answers.
- Your first speech was a little hard to understand, make sure you "warm up" before your first round! it really does help!

morality

- need to work on speaks, hard to follow your percentages and impacts due to fast monotone and poor diction.
- The Aff is talking about safe syring exchange not a volunteer rehab clinic.
- I follow your argument about how this encourages drug use, but you also need a counter for how not having safe needle exchange increases infectious diseases.
- You said opponent didn't rebut your second and third contention but I have it on my flow that he has.
- You later mention his counter in your speech. Now I don't know if you're lying to try and sound more persuasive or just flowed poorly.

2 min left Pt

12 **Natalie Yates - AofHL**

Open LD Round 1- 9:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: La Reina 1032019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9:00 am****Ballot Due: 10:45****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning SJ**Negative:** Nova 42 Academy AKNamePoints~~(30-1)~~

70-100

95

Soren Jensen

NamePoints~~(30-1)~~


70-100

90

Andrew Kim

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____


JUDGE'S SIGNATUREKudos
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**

- Great Case, well presented, strong working knowledge.

- I loved your clarification between "essential" and "successful"

- Great case as well, very tough spot, but you brought up some great points.

5 **Daniel Kyle - Nova 42**

Open LD Round 1- 9:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 1012019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9:00 am****Ballot Due: 10:45****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning NH**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
LWNamePoints~~(30-100)~~

70-100

Nelson Hu

85

NamePoints~~(30-100)~~

70-100

Luke Wu

82

Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe won the debate.

Low Point Win? ____

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

JUDGE'S AFFILIATION

Thank you for Judging!~~REF:~~

Comments:

Nelson Hu: read the case more so you get more used to it.
don't let him cut you off in cross. Stop him from
rambling cross. you need to read shorter cards
Take prep.

Luke Wu:

let him speak during cross, slow down.

you don't show me how we do it or what the
impacts are. I don't buy the case because of that.
Let him speak in his cross

REF - I voted **AFF**. Neg's case isn't a neg stance.
He just says that both are good, that's not a reason
to vote neg. also don't they go well together. ^{Prove} AFF
wins portugal example by out weighing neg war on drugs good.
more clash on evidence evidence

13 Rebecca Cannon - Wilshire

Open LD Round 1- 9:00am FLIGHT: B

Room: La Reina 1062019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9:00 am****Ballot Due: 10:45****Affirmative:** Academy of Higher Learning DC**Negative:** Kudos College of Youth Leadership
THNamePoints~~(30-4)~~

70-100

NamePoints~~(30-4)~~

70-100

Daniel Choi

87

Ted Hong

90

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? ____

Rebecca Cannon
JUDGE'S SIGNATUREWilshire
JUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**

Both speakers were very well prepared. Affirmative had excellent delivery, and good points. Occasionally, repetitive about re-incarceration and racism. A stronger stand needed on other points, (i.e. TAXpayer burden, judicial system).

Negative was very strong in delivery and concise support for his arguments. (i.e. choice to take drugs, affirmatives neglect in addressing skyrocketing crime, drug dealers especially). The winner Negative stated the resolution did not state about Countries.

Good Job!

8 Helena Mao - Nova 42

Open LD Round 1- 9:00am FLIGHT: A

Room: La Reina 1042019 SCJFL 4th Quarter Debate Only
Tournament**Round Start: 9:00 am****Ballot Due: 10:45****Affirmative:** Kudos College of Youth
Leadership IL**Negative:** Academy of Higher Learning THNamePoints~~(30-11)~~
70-100NamePoints~~(30-11)~~
70-100

Ivan Leong

Timothy Ha

**Above, CIRCLE the SIDE and TEAM that you believe
won the debate.**

Low Point Win? _____

JUDGE'S SIGNATUREJUDGE'S AFFILIATION**Thank you for Judging!**9:30
Ivan no show.